

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,510	10/25/2000	James N. Elesh	1300-155	2578	
75	590 11/13/2002				
LAFF, WHITESEL & SARET, LTD.			EXAMINER		
401 North Mich Chicago, IL 60			ARNOLD III, TROY G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728		
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· -1		_	15	M			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/696,510	ELESH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Troy Arnold	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 S	eptember 2002 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)□	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
•	Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are pending in the applic						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	Certified copies of the priority documents						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents 						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Application/Control Number: 09/696,510

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over both Cai and Hooker. Claim 14 is rejected in the same manner as in the first rejection, paper No. 6. Both Cai and Hooker teach cartons having first ends, and which are divided into first and second compartments which are simultaneously accessible at the first end. Cai's access is from the top, and Hooker's from the side, through door 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hooker in view of Keeton. Claim 14 is rejected in the same manner as in the first rejection,

Application/Control Number: 09/696,510

Art Unit: 3728

paper No. 6. Claim 15 is rejected in the same manner as in the first rejection, paper No. 6.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 26, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Contrary to Applicant's remarks on pages 2 and 3, the fact that Cai's carton is *intended* to be used for holding food items is not germane; his carton clearly *could* be used to ship a pet bed. His carton clearly could also be used to compress a (smaller) pet bed. Contrary to the remarks on pages 3 and 4, it is submitted that the Hooker carton teaches the limitations of claim 14 as stated in the rejection. How are the two compartments in the Hooker carton not simultaneously accessible at the first end, as required by claim 14?

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/696,510

Art Unit: 3728

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Troy Arnold whose telephone number is 703-305-0621.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday, 9:30-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 703-308-2672. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-0302 for

regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

Troy Arnold Examiner Art Unit 3728

TGA

November 7, 2002

Mickey Yu

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 4

Group 3700